1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	INDIANA STATE DISTRICT COUNCIL OF LABORERS AND HOD CARRIERS	No. C-06-7274 EMC (Related cases)
9 10	PENSION FUND, Derivatively on Behalf of Electronics for Imaging, Inc., et al.,	(Consolidated case with C07-0698 EMC)
11	Plaintiffs,	
12	v.	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO STAY
13	GUY GECHT, et al.,	MOTION TO SIMI
14	Defendants.	
15	and	
16	ELECTRONICS FOR IMAGING, INC., a Delaware Corporation	
17	Nominal Defendant.	
18		
19	TRICA AND A DIGIT	N G 07 0600 FMG
20	TRUEMAN PARISH, et al.,	No. C-07-0698 EMC (Related cases)
21	Plaintiffs,	(Consolidated case with C06-7274EMC)
22	V.	
23	DAN AVIDA, et al.	
24	Defendants.	
25	and	
26	ELECTRONICS FOR IMAGING, INC., a Delaware Corporation	
27	Nominal Defendant.	
28	/	

Plaintiffs have moved the Court to stay the proceedings in the above-referenced cases in favor of City of Ann Arbor v. Electronics for Imaging, Inc. (DE CH CA2797-VCL), a similar derivative action pending in the Chancery Court of Delaware. Plaintiffs contend that a stay is appropriate because the above-referenced cases raise important questions of Delaware law that are more appropriately and efficiently resolved by the Delaware courts. Defendants have submitted a statement of nonopposition to Plaintiffs' motion to stay.

Because the parties have effectively stipulated to a stay of proceedings, the Court hereby **GRANTS** Plaintiffs' motion to stay. All proceedings in this case -- including the briefing and hearing on Defendants' motion to dismiss -- are stayed until further order of the Court. The parties are ordered to file a joint letter within six months of the date of this order to update the Court on the proceedings in the state court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 25, 2007

EDWARD M. CHEN United States Magistrate Judge